

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/777,314	02/05/2001	Tomohiro Konishi	55577(820)	3382
	7590 10/06/2005			EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL				SELBY, GEVELL V	
	INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY PRAC			
P.O. BOX 55874			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	BOSTON, MA 02205			2615	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/777,314	KONISHI, TOMOHIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gevell Selby	2615	

	Gevell Selby	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In revent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (by cove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	e filed within two man	the of the data				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	had asian to the date of filling a bail		.				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		/≀⊏ below),					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	• •	•					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	• • •	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
	mended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment can(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:			44				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	18/4				
<u> </u>		care	ony				
		DAVID	. OMETZ				

SUPERVISORY PATENT

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations to claims 1 and 9 were considered, but further examination with a more comprehesive search is required to determine the allowability of the amended claims. Therefore, the amendment was not entered.